

## HELLENIC REPUBLIC National and Kapodistrian University of Athens

# **PROTEOMIC ANALYSIS OF BIOMARKERS PREDICTIVE OF CDK4/6 INHIBITOR RESPONSE IN HR+/HER2- BREAST CANCER**



Dave sulation

Apostolidou K.<sup>1</sup>; Zografos E.<sup>1</sup>; Papatheodoridi AM<sup>1</sup>; Fiste O.<sup>1</sup>; Papadopoulos L.<sup>2</sup>; Filippidou S.<sup>2</sup>; Fokianou A.<sup>2</sup>; Alevizou R.<sup>2</sup>; Marinopoulos S.<sup>3</sup>; Dimitrakakis C.<sup>3</sup>; Xepapadakis G.<sup>2</sup>; Samiotaki M.<sup>4</sup>; Dimopoulos MA<sup>1</sup>, Zagouri F.<sup>1</sup>

1. Department of Clinical Therapeutics, Alexandra Hospital, Medical School, Athens, Greece 11528, 2. Iaso, 2<sup>nd</sup> Breast Clinic, General Maternity and Gynecology Clinic, Athens, Greece, 3. 1st Department of Obstetrics & amp; Gynecology, "Alexandra" Hospital, Medical School, University of Athens, 115 28 Athens, Greece, 4. Institute for Bioinnovation, Biomedical Sciences Research Center & quot; Alexander Fleming& quot;, 166 72 Vari, Greece.

#### INTRODUCTION

The introduction of CDK4/6 inhibitors has significantly improved treatment outcomes for patients with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative (HR+/HER2-) breast cancer.

However, a substantial proportion of patients develop resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors, which limits the therapeutic efficacy. Despite their widespread clinic use, reliable biomarkers for predicting patient response to CDK4/6 inhibitors are still lacking, emphasizing the need for continued research in this area.

## AIM

This study aims to identify potential protein biomarke predictive of therapeutic response to CDK4/6 inhibito in HR+/HER2- breast cancer patients.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective, case-control study included adu women with histologically confirmed HR+/HER metastatic breast cancer who initiated ribociclib combination with endocrine therapy (ET).

Baseline blood samples were collected prior to treatment initiation. Patients who experienced early disease progression were classified as cases, while those without progression were matched in a 1:1 ratio as controls. Serum samples were analyzed using data-independent acquisition liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (DIA LC-MS/MS) for quantitative proteomic profiling. Gene Ontology (GO) pathway enrichment analysis was performed to elucidate the biological mechanisms underlying the proteomic changes.

al	Variable	Cases subgroup	Controls subgrou		
se	Age at diagnosis				
ne	Mean (SD)	64.20±19.78	63.60 ± 18.73		
	Median (min, max)	75.00 (40.00 – 84.00)	73.00 (43.00 - 83.0		
	Age at ribociclib initiation				
	Mean (SD)	65.20±18.59	$66.40 \pm 15.68$		
rs	Median (min, max)	75.00 (41.00 – 84.00)	73.00 (44.00 – 83.0		
rs	Smoking status N (%)				
	Non-smoker	4 (80%)	4 (80%)		
	Smoker	1 (20%)	1 (20%)		
	Menopausal status N (%	6)			
	Post-	4 (80%)	4 (80%)		
lt	Pre-	1 (20)	1 (20)		
2-	Molecular subtype N (%)				
in	Luminal A/HER2-	2 (40%)	2 (40%)		

3 (60%)

5 (100%)

4 (80%)

1 (20%)

1 (20%)

1 (20%)

1 (20%)

Line of Treatment with Ribociclib N (%)

3 (60%)

5 (100%)

4 (80%)

1 (20%)

0 (0%)

2 (40%)

0 (0%)

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics.

Luminal B/HER2-

Metastatic sites N (%)

Cutaneous metastasis

1st

Bones

Luna

Liver

Lymph Nodes

9-		0		
			0	
4-		1	. :	
				•
~-	12			
				0 0
			9	

Figure 1. Scatter plot of differential gene expression in cases (red) vs. controls (blue)





Figure 3. GO Biological process/cellular process (upregulated proteins in cases)

#### **CONTACT INFORMATIONS**

Kleoniki Apostolidou

022 Department of Clinical Therapeutics, Alexandra Hospital, Medical School, Athens, Greece

### CONCLUSIONS

24

22

20

18

16

0 14

This study identifies distinct protein expression profiles between cases and controls in the context of treatment response to CDK4/6 inhibitors in HR+/HER2metastatic breast cancer, suggesting potential biomarkers for predicting treatment efficacy. These findings underscore the importance for further validation of these biomarkers to optimize personalized treatment strategies and improve clinical outcomes in breast cancer therapy.

## RESULTS

- The exploratory DIA LC-MS/MS analysis of 10 samples identified 1,086 proteins, with an average of approximately 700 proteins detected per plasma sample.
  - Comparative statistical analysis revealed 107 differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) between cases and controls (p-value<0.05; S0 = 0.1), including 39 upregulated and 67 downregulated proteins.</p>

name	Protein name	Primary accession	- LOG(P)	Difference	(cases)
ITGAL	Integrin alpha-L	P20701	3.48	-3.81	$\checkmark$
KRT85	Keratin, type II cuticular Hb5	P78386	2.46	-3.59	$\checkmark$
MICU2	Calcium uptake protein 2, mitochondrial	Q8IYU8	2.77	-3.45	$\downarrow$
CDH23	Cadherin-23	Q9H251	1.39	-2.84	$\checkmark$
IGLV2-14	Carbonic anhydrase 2	P00918	2.20	2.34	$\uparrow$
KRT4	Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 4	P19013	2.23	2.36	$\uparrow$
PRKCE	Protein kinase C epsilon type	Q02156	2.44	2.37	$\uparrow$
OR51L1	Olfactory receptor 51L1	Q8NGJ5	2.65	2.34	$\uparrow$
NEK9	Serine/threonine-protein kinase Nek9	Q8TD19	2.25	2.57	$\uparrow$
FAM110A	Protein FAM110A	Q9BQ89	1.91	3.53	$\uparrow$

Lin: Dund

Table 2. Selected DEPs between cases and controls.

Lin: Dund

#### REFERENCES

 Zhou, F. H. et al. CDK4/6 inhibitor resistance in estrogen receptor positive breast cancer, a 2023 perspective. Front Cell Dev Biol 11, (2023) Gomes, I., Abreu, C., Costa, L. & Casimiro, S. The Evolving Pathways of the Efficacy of and Resistance to CDK4/6 Inhibitors in Breast Cancer. Cance (Basel) 15, 4835 (2023).

McCartney, A. et al. Mechanisms of Resistance to CDK4/6 Inhibitors: Potential Implications and Biomarkers for Clinical Practice. Front Oncol 9, (2019) Lee, L.S. Hackhart, H., Cui, X. & Yuan, Y. CDK4/6 Inhibitor Resistance in Hormone Recentor-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer: Translational Researc Clinical Trials, and Future Directions. Int J Mol Sci 24, 11791 (2023).