

### **Stavros Niarchos Foundation Complex Joint Reconstruction Center**

## INTRODUCTION

- Revision total knee arthroplasty (rTKA) rate expected to increase
- Adequate fixation of the implant is a critical component to success<sup>1</sup>
- Zonal fixation



- Epiphysis
- Metaphysis
- Diaphysis

### Deep Learning in Orthopedic Research

Classification Tasks

- Implant classification
- **Object Detection**
- Fracture detection
- Segmentation Tasks<sup>2</sup>
- Bony landmark annotation

### PURPOSE

> To develop a deep learning algorithm to delineate fixation zones automatically and accurately on postoperative radiographs

Patients who underwent rTKA with postoperative radiographs were retrospectively included 2. Images split into a 6:2:2 ratio (141:47:47 images) for training, validation, and independent testing 3. A U-Net model was trained using transfer learning and data augmentation for landmark identification related to rTKA fixation zones (femoral component, tibial component, fibula, femur, tibia), and optimized on the multi-class dice segmentation coefficient (DSC) 4. Model predictions processed to automate epiphyseal, metaphyseal, and diaphyseal revision zones on postoperative images.





# **Deep Learning Automation of Revision TKA Fixation Zones**

Seong J. Jang, BA,<sup>1,2</sup> Dimitrios A. Flevas, MD<sup>1</sup>, Kyle Kunze, MD<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Anderson, MD<sup>1</sup>, Melissa Henson, MS<sup>1</sup>, Mark Fontana, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Friedrich Boettner, MD<sup>1</sup>, Thomas P. Sculco, MD<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Baldini, MD<sup>4</sup>, Peter K. Sculco, MD<sup>1</sup>

. Stavros Niarchos Foundation Complex Joint Reconstruction Center, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY, USA 2. Weill Cornell College of Medicine, New York, NY, USA 3. Center for Analytics, Modeling, and Performance, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY, USA 4. Institute for Complex Arthroplasty and Revisions (ICAR), Villa Ulivella Clinic, Florence, Italy

### METHODS

5. Zone spatial agreement between the algorithm and a fellowship-trained surgeon was assessed using the DSC (0.0 = no agreement, 1.0 = perfect agreement).

### identification

### FIGURE 2



Revision Image



Figure 3: Spatial agreement between deep learning automation and surgeon measurements on independent testing cohort. Spatial agreement measured using the dice coefficient.

**Deep Learning Automated Zones** 



**Surgeon Created** Zones

**Figure 2:** Example of deep learning automated revision zones from predicted landmarks

	_	-	-	i	(	(	f	-	Ş	-	
1	(	(		r			-		5		

1.	ŀ
	r
2.	F
	S

3.



### RESULTS

The U-Net had a DSC of 0.95 – 0.98 for landmark segmentation relevant to revisions zones The algorithm failed to find landmarks necessary for zone automation in only 1 image (2%) On the testing cohort, the model was able to seconds per all zones at a rate of 8 seconds per mage (6.4 minutes for 46 patients) The DSC between the model and surgeon:  $0.89 \pm 0.08$  (IQR: 0.88-0.94) for femoral zones  $0.91 \pm 0.08$  (IQR: 0.91-0.95) for tibial zones  $0.90 \pm 0.05$  (IQR: 0.88-0.94) for all zones

### CONCLUSIONS

High accuracy in zone creation on rTKA radiographs using a deep learning model

Rapid production of zones images (~2) seconds)

External validation with HSS data

# REFERENCES

Morgan-Jones R, Oussedik SI, Graichen H, Haddad FS. Zonal fixation in revision total knee arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J.* 2015;97-B(2):147-149. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.97B2.34144

2. Jang SJ, Kunze KN, Vigdorchik JM, Jerabek SA, Mayman DJ, Sculco PK. John Charnley Award: Deep Learning Prediction of Hip Joint Center on Standard Pelvis Radiographs. J Arthroplasty. 2022;37(7S):S400-S407.e1. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2022.03.033